



Human Population Hits 8 Billion in November 2022

Human population officially hit 8 billion people in November, marking a new all-time high.

A comprehensive article in *Newsweek* about this milestone stated that the global human population has risen 300% from 2.5 billion people in 1950. The article states that global population levels will reach 9 billion by 2037, and will peak in the 2080's at around 10.4 billion people.

"Future population growth will be strongly concentrated in countries with high fertility today—especially in Sub-Saharan Africa—and a few lower and middle-income Asian countries. These are also the regions where the future pressure of population growth on resources, food production, and possible violent conflict will be strongest."

While the article stated that this is a sign of progress in terms of public health and economic advancement, it warned that there are many challenges ahead for a world with this many people in it.

For starters, competition over resources like food and water will be fiercer than ever.

The article was optimistic about the future but stressed that changes

needed to be made to the way we currently live, in concurrence with an inevitable decrease in population growth.

"Population growth presents significant challenges for sustainable development and social and economic progress, and will further stretch the resources of our planet. However, a deceleration in global population growth rates and a switch to a more sustainable economy will hopefully mitigate some of these negative effects."

CAPS has taken a stand on this important issue and is willing to start the conversation. We put out a press release this past Earth Day talking about overpopulation and the 8 billion population milestone. This includes a whopping 333 million in the US.

A population of 8 billion people on the planet poses challenges at every level. Climate change, food scarcity, degradation of the environment, housing shortages, and mass migration are just a few of the many issues at play.



As mentioned in the article, we have to fundamentally change the way we live our lives if we want to preserve the planet we live on. If not, we're going to exhaust our resources and ruin the only place we know of that's habitable for human life.

With 8 billion minds, let's get serious about examining and discussing overpopulation. The future of our planet rests on how we approach this incredibly important issue. At least that's *something* all 8 billion of us should be able to agree on. 🐼*

There are more important things going on.

By Don Rosenberg



President Joe Biden (David Lienemann, Wikimedia Commons)

Recently President Biden made a trip to Phoenix. Upon leaving the White House, Peter Ducey of Fox News asked, "Why visit a border state and not visit the border?" The president's response was, "Because there are more important things going on...." What was more important? The president was essentially attending a ribbon cutting for a semiconductor plant expanding its operation.

Ironically, the decision to build the plant was made during the Trump

administration, and the current administration had nothing to do with the expansion plans. Had Mr. Biden not attended, the construction would have gone on, nonetheless. In other words, his appearance there was not important at all.

However, the current self-inflicted crisis at the border has resulted in over 4 million illegal aliens crossing the border (almost all of whom will be denied asylum but never leave) and over another million that bypassed the

Continued on page 3

Board of Directors

President

Ben Zuckerman, Ph.D.

Vice President

Leon Kolankiewicz, M.S.

Treasurer

Kenneth Pasternack, J.D.

Secretary

Karen Shragg, Ed.D.

Director

Dick Schneider, M.S.

Staff

Chief Operating Officer

Toby Nicole White

Advisory Board

Denise Spangler Adams, M.S.

Carolyn Pesnell Amory

Benny Chien, M.D.

Robert Gillespie

Victor Davis Hanson, Ph.D.

Frank L. Morris, Ph.D.

Karen Peus

Winifred W. Rhodes

Don Rosenberg

Dario Sattui

Jacob Sigg

Michael Tobias, Ph.D.

Charles Westoff, Ph.D.

In Memoriam

David Brower

Dave Foreman

Richard Lamm, L.L.D.

Linus Pauling, Ph.D.

CAPS News is published by
Californians for Population Stabilization
675 East Santa Clara St. #860
Ventura CA 93002

Phone: 805-564-6626

E-mail: CAPS@CAPSweb.org

Twitter: @Crowdifornia

Instagram: Crowdifornia

Facebook.com/

CaliforniansForPopulationStabilization

www.CAPSweb.org

www.StopSanctuary.com


www.MandatoryEVerify.org

Art Direction

James Chott Design

Californians for Population Stabilization is a nonpartisan, nonprofit, public interest organization that works to formulate and advance policies and programs designed to stabilize the population of California, the U.S. and the world at levels which will preserve the environment and a good quality of life.

President's Message



American conservationist Dave Foreman passed away at the age of 75. A subsequent newsletter will contain a tribute to Dave who was

deeply concerned about U.S. overpopulation and who was a member of CAPS' Advisory Board. Here I would like to say a few words about Dave's career and about a personal interaction we had.

Foreman began his chapter in the 2012 book *Life on the Brink: Environmentalists Confront Overpopulation* with this insightful quote from Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr's acceptance speech for the 1966 Margaret Sanger Award in Human Rights:

"Unlike the plagues of the dark ages or contemporary diseases we do not yet understand, the modern plague of overpopulation is soluble by means we have discovered and with resources we possess. What is lacking is not sufficient knowledge of the solution, but universal consciousness of the gravity of the problem and the education of the billions who are its victims."

I do not know when Dave first became seriously interested in conservation, but it must have been at least 50 years ago given that, between 1973 and 1980, he worked for the Wilderness Society and was among the leaders of The Nature Conservancy (1976-1980). In the 1980s Dave coined one of the phrases (words) that have come to be associated with his name: "Earth First!". During the 1980s he was the leader of the "radical" environmental group Earth First! and the editor of the *Earth First! Journal*.

But during the 1990s Dave's tactics softened and he co-founded the Wildlands Network, which aims to establish a network of protected wilderness areas across North America. This is the concept of "rewilding" a word attributed to Dave that now can be found in dictionaries! If you Google "rewilding" one item that comes up is this: "The term rewilding was coined in 1992 by a group of US conservation biologists led by Dave Foreman."

From 1995 to 1997 Dave served on the National Board of Directors of the Sierra Club. Unlike virtually all other major environmental organizations, Sierra Club Directors are elected by the rank and file membership. Dave was so revered by his fellow Directors

that they elected him to the Board's Executive Committee during his first day as a Director.

In 1996 the Board passed a resolution that the Sierra Club would take a neutral position on the numbers of immigrants being admitted to the U.S. Because immigration was then, and still is, the major cause of U.S. population growth, some Club members, including myself and fellow CAPS Board member Dick Schneider, formed an internal Sierra Club group that called itself "Sierrans for U.S. Population Stabilization" (SUSPS).

SUSPS organized a so-called "ballot question" to be voted on by the Club's membership. The essence of this 1998 vote would be to decide if the Club would reverse its new neutrality policy and support (reduced) levels of immigration that would eventually lead to an end to U.S. population growth. Dave Foreman was in disagreement with the Sierra Club's neutrality policy and, along with most other leading environmentalists at that time, supported the SUSPS position. As a consequence, and also because of Dave's many other responsibilities outside of the Sierra Club, he resigned his position on the Board of Directors.

In 2014 Dave visited Southern California to speak to CAPS and, separately, to the students at Cal State University Northridge (and perhaps elsewhere). I attended these talks. Dave was a remarkably good, inspirational, speaker. He and I powwowed a bit before his CSUN presentation concerning what he might say to the students. Given the mix of student backgrounds, Dave and I agreed that it would be best that he say something, but not too much, about immigration for fear that he might lose or antagonize his audience.

Dave gave a wonderful talk – I watched the students and could see that they were enthralled by what he had to say about conservation and how well he said it. But he opted out and said nothing at all about immigration. Afterwards, when we schmoozed, I told him that it was OK – the important thing was how well he could communicate with the students and how well they responded to him. Dave's eloquent voice on behalf of Earth's biosphere will be greatly missed. 🐾

Ben Zuckerman

Ben Zuckerman
President, CAPS

There are more important things... *(continued from page 1)*

Border Patrol. Fentanyl and other drugs defy any supply chain issues flooding over the border and resulting in the deaths of over 100,000 Americans annually. “Asylum seekers” die either attempting to enter the US or, once successful in crossing the border, dying in the desert or in fatal collisions trying to escape the border patrol. Some suffocated in trailers in the stifling summer heat. Add to that the costs to American taxpayers of billions of dollars today, hundreds of billions in the future, and an incalculable cost of crime, rapes, and dead bodies at the hands of some illegal aliens.

So how has the media covered this story?

Clearly, the border issues since day one of this administration and easily over the past decade have been a story demanding to be told. But most of the media believed Biden, that there were more important things going on. Except for Fox not one major “narrative network or publication” (what we used to call news) covered the story. They did, however, cover the “ribbon-cutting.”

As hundreds of thousands illegally crossed the border every month, it was impossible to find anyone from the networks or any of the major “narrative papers” (or Kamala Harris) at the border other than Bill Melugin from Fox.

Yet when Florida Governor Ron DeSantis flew 50 illegal aliens on a private jet (I’m almost seventy, and



I’ve never flown on a private jet) to Martha’s Vineyard in September, the “press” crew was out in full force, in numbers not ever seen covering an immigration issue. Someone had to document the barbaric treatment suffered by these visiting emissaries from Venezuela.

These two issues are a small reflection of the media’s refusal to tell the truth about the insidiousness of illegal immigration. The public is generally against illegal immigration, and more than half want legal immigration reduced by at least half. And although they are aware that “too” many people are crossing the border, watching the networks, MSNBC, CNN or reading the New York Times, LA Times, Washington Post, and most narrative papers doesn’t begin to convey the daily catastrophe at our southern border.

The only thing most people see or read is that illegal aliens boost our economy,

pay taxes, commit few crimes, and these people are fleeing certain death—all false.


In the run-up to the second Iraq War (2002-2003), the media refused to challenge the Bush administration’s claims that Saddam Hussein was involved in the 9/11 attack and that he was harboring weapons of mass destruction. Because of the media complicity, over 70 percent of the American public supported our 2003 invasion of Iraq.

After years of the media lying (mostly lies of omission) to the American public about illegal immigration, over 70 percent of Americans believe that illegal aliens should be given a pathway to citizenship.

What could possibly go wrong?

Don Rosenberg is a CAPS Advisory Board member and spokesperson and President of Advocates for Victims of Illegal Alien Crime (www.aviac.us). 🐘*

CAPS Highlights Ongoing Sanctuary City Hypocrisy



In October, CAPS released an ad calling on Americans to tell their members of Congress to stop the sanctuary crisis.

Sanctuary cities have incentivized and contributed to the surge in illegal immigration in America. Polls indicate a majority of Americans want stronger borders. The ad calls on Americans to **demand their members of Congress enforce our immigration laws.**

CAPS makes clear that it’s time for action: “Americans agree: secure the border, enforce our immigration laws.



Tell your representatives: every state is a border state. Stop the sanctuary crisis.”

The ad, **Every State is a Border State**, has garnered over 555,000 video views, bolstered by a comprehensive advertising campaign in four sanctuary districts: New York City, Newark, NJ, Chicago, and Washington, D.C.

Amplified via radio ads in Chicago, the campaign continues to gain traction across targeted markets on YouTube, Facebook, and Instagram. Thanks to your support, CAPS is able to magnify our “small but mighty” presence in ways that stretch beyond budget constraints.

Please visit our website to join CAPS in the momentum of this ad, whose success we share with all of you: read and share the blogs, press releases and action alerts; become a subscriber of Crowdifornia, our YouTube channel, and follow us on Facebook. We put your hard-earned dollars to work, and we also greatly benefit from shares, likes, comments and other types of social media engagement by you, which takes mere seconds to do! For more information, please call us at (805) 564-6626. 🐘*

When Growth Surges and Storm Surges Meet

By Karen Shragg



(Getty Images)



(Wilfredo Lee/Associated Press)

Of the 1.8 million homes in the nine counties declared a disaster area in Florida in the wake of Hurricane Ian, only 29% have federal flood insurance (Politico). Flooding is never covered by homeowner's insurance. So those who can't afford to buy flood insurance, or just didn't buy it, will now be further down the rabbit hole of poverty and homelessness.

Florida keeps growing right in the pathway of storms which are guaranteed to keep getting more frequent and stronger in light of our continued fueling the fires of climate change. So where do you put 3 million new residents who moved to Florida just since 2010? You put them in danger. You put them in the pathways of storms and then make the lame effort of telling its growing millions to just evac-

uate, when radar indicates, on one of Florida's only 4 major highways. Getting behind a moratorium on growth would show great leadership and foresight and help Floridians tremendously, but developers don't donate to those kinds of leaders, which are few and far between to say the least.


Our corporate-funded, growth-based world has a great track record of ignoring the interface of population growth and climate disasters. Climate prediction maps are disturbingly clear: Tampa will become the new Miami in the not-so-distant future, some say by 2060. Even though we know Miami will become a fancy underwater park as oceans rise, real estate developers are still on the prowl. I first went to Miami in 1964 when its population was just over 1.6 million. It

is now still growing at over 6 million. Salt water is already threatening the water supply of Dade County. The intrusion of saltwater into their shallow aquifers began when the Everglades were drained to provide dry land for development, another human created disaster by our addiction to growth.

Growth in Florida is mostly a combination of migration from other states, and both legal and illegal migration from other countries. Stopping growth from all of its sources is called for and can only happen when we see that it is a self-destructive journey, like welcoming gasoline to a fire.

If insurance companies were in the business of really helping people, they would become major underwriters of population NGO's. They could help fund getting the message out, which is well documented: when you keep growing and building homes in a state subject to storms in our climate-altered world, you are asking for even more costly disasters. This full disclosure should be required on all Florida brochures: 22 million people are already crammed into our 65,758 square miles of sinking swamp-land. Since we live in a world of climbing atmospheric carbon, we are sorry, but we are full. Adding more growth to our state, from whatever source, is as dangerous as being in the target of the next storm. 🐘*

CAPS Advisory Board Members in Action



Classicist, historian, CAPS Advisory Board member, and author of many books including, "The Dying Citizen," Dr. Victor Davis Hanson is a featured course lecturer on Hillsdale College's website. His 8-part series entitled, "American Citizenship and Its Decline" is a free online course that delves into the history of citizenship, dating back to city-states, and the natural progression toward demarcation of boundaries, or *borders*, to sustain unique sets of customs, traditions, language and government.

The course is easily broken down into half-hour segments that can be watched from laptop or mobile device. Of particular interest to CAPS supporters, is a segment called *Illegal Immigration and the Loss of National Sovereignty*, which begins with formal immigration systems set in place in the U.S., then addresses the challenges America faces today, including the threat of losing the very concept of citizenship. With a record two-million people crossing our border in 2021 from Central America and Mexico without adhering to our immigration laws, Hanson states:

It seems absurd to diminish the privilege of citizenship and empower people who are not only not U.S. citizens, but they will not be legal residents. So, the first decision that the new immigrant makes is that they will cross the United States

border and break the law; the second decision they make is that they will continue to reside in the United States while breaking the law; and the third decision many will make is that they will find identification that is not authorized or is illegal (or what we call fake or phony) to perpetuate that illegality.... What explains it all?

What, indeed? CAPS invites you to take the course and let us know your thoughts. Please visit www.HillsdaleCollege.edu, and click online courses to learn more.

*Hillsdale College purveys free online courses and as such does not require permission to print course recommendations and the content does not reflect a partnership between the school and CAPS. 🐘**

The Legacy We Leave Behind

A Tribute to the Well-Lived Lives of Dave Foreman and Herman Daly

By Karen Shragg



Herman Edward Daly
07.21.38 – 10.28.22



William David Foreman
10.18.46 – 09.19.22

When Paul McCartney, Graham Nash and any of the rest of the Rolling Stones leave the planet, I will be inconsolable. I came of age during the music revolution and their music has continued to bring joy and inspiration to my life. But I won't mourn them in the same way I mourn environmental heroes. Although my dear parents gave me, their first-born, guitar and piano lessons, I was never destined to be a musician. I have, however, taken on the mantle of an activist, caring about the earth in my career and writings. When I hear that my heroes inspired by the Earth Day have left the planet, I feel an inner tug that asks, who will replace them?

When our musical icons die their music keeps selling and documentaries keep mining their stories. Michael Jackson died 13 years ago, and even with recent documentaries detailing the dark side of his life, he still earns \$362 million each year for those in his will.

Other musicians, influenced by the musicians who came before them, will undoubtedly come along to play the arenas they once filled.

Sadly, when we lose our environmental heroes there is barely a whimper in the major media. There may be a story or two on social media, but the monumental efforts of their life's work will only be fodder for a film festival someday if someone makes the painstaking effort to raising millions. Fortunately, the two greats we just lost, who were unafraid to discuss overpopulation, will have NGO's to carry on their work.

Where then will destitute U.S. citizens emigrate to?

~Herman Daly

We recently had to bid farewell to wildlife advocate Dave Foreman and ecological economist Herman Daly. I have been trying to figure out what they had in common. To me it is their relentless fearlessness at promoting their worldview to a world that is headed in the opposite direction. They each founded movements, which will be their continuing legacy. Daly's brilliance manifested in the organization CASSE, Center for the Advancement of the Steady State Economy, where he served on the

executive board until his recent death. Foreman's life's work to save the wilderness and all of its inhabitants will continue in the work done by the Rewilding Institute, which he founded.

Their lives should not only ask us to continue to support the causes they believed in, but to reflect on what our own legacies will be. They were brilliant people with activist hearts who never gave up their vision for a sustainable planet even though that meant going against the tide and swimming upstream, without a lifejacket. Neither was afraid to tackle the way humans swarm the planet

in such an unsustainable way. As an overpopulation activist, I am inspired to continue their legacy by doing my best and to demonstrate the same kind of courage. They endured many critics and so can I. There's just too much at stake.

Those who didn't know of their work when they were alive, must study their legacy of books and speeches and the work of their NGO's, because unless we tame the beast that has become us, we will need to ask our favorite bands to start playing our swan song. 🦋

NEPA Update 2022



In August, a U.S. district court in DC ruled that the Center for Immigration Studies (CIS) lawsuit, Massachusetts Coalition for Immigration Reform (MCIR) et al, vs. Department of Homeland Security et al, may proceed against the Biden Administration for failing to conduct environmental analysis under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of its major immigration policies that have

vastly increased the number of people crossing the border unlawfully. In 2016, CAPS was a plaintiff in the first NEPA lawsuit alleging that the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) has the duty to conduct environmental analysis before implementing actions that bring millions of people into the United States in the Southern District of California.

Ultimately, that suit was dismissed without reaching the merits of the issue and the dismissal was affirmed by the Ninth Circuit. CIS filed another lawsuit in the District of Columbia, with different plaintiffs in 2020, and later amended its claims in 2021 to focus on the actions of the Biden administration creating the border crisis. The State of Arizona also filed a NEPA lawsuit against the Biden administration for its immigration actions in 2021, marking the first time a state has made the argument that immigration policies can impact the environment. So far, Arizona, which is also located in the Ninth Circuit, has not gained any traction with its lawsuit, with the district court finding in April that the State of Arizona did not have standing (despite the Supreme Court having established in 2007, with Massachusetts v. EPA, that states have a "special solicitude" to protect their environment

Continued on page 7

Thanks for Saving the Wolves,

A donation letter from one of our newest board members



Dear CAPS,

My enclosed donation for \$250.00 is to thank you for saving the wolves. No that is not a typo. You see I was recently canceled from presenting my talk at the International Wolf Symposium (IWS). I was poised to methodically and with supportive research demonstrate how US population growth is harming the future of these amazing mammals. The word apparently got out that I was going to point a well-deserved, humanely articulated finger at the main reason the US is growing so fast, our outdated and unsustainable mass immigration policies, and afraid that I would offend some people, they declined to allow me to speak at their conference in Minnesota this October. I offered to let them put a disclaimer on the door after watching my new and improved talk now called, "Sprawling Over America, Why the Endangered Species Act isn't enough." But due to my principles, I would not agree to alter my talk unless it was for scientific reasons. The verdict came back from one irate board member in particular, I am told, that I was not to even say the word 'immigration'. I asked for my registration fee back, especially when they could not be troubled to see my improvements in the latest rendition of my presentation. I am using that refund to make donations to fine NGOs like you who are doing more to save the wolf than this group. They say they love them, promote studying them and fill their website with great photos of them but stop at doing what would really help them, humanely keep our increasing footprints from encroaching on their territory. I claim that there can be no diversity in a world which tramples on biodiversity.

A wolf pack territory averages about 50-60 square miles, depending on prey density. According to the head of the IWS who had to break the news to me, there is no more room to release wolves in the lower 48. In my talk I address why that is, but overpopulation, population growth and for sure immigration, the main cause for our continued growth, are now not only taboo topics they are taboo words. I feel sorry for the wolves which not only have suffered over the years from negative mythology and the effects of climate change but from the last nail in their coffin, political wokeness and cancel culture. I know that you will spend my donation well and continue to press for awareness on how unsustainable our overpopulated country is both for us and wildlife. I know many in the IWS agree with my research, but until they find their collective spine and allow the truth to be told about overpopulation and growth, I will continue to spread the word where I am allowed, which is getting more and more difficult to do.

With thanks for your courageous work,
Dr. Karen I. Shragg



NEPA Update 2022 (continued from page 5)

in court). *MCIR v. DHS* is therefore the only NEPA case regarding the massive environmental effects of immigration to have cleared the first procedural hurdle in court.

That it should have taken this long is what is hard to believe. NEPA was passed in 1970, driven in large part due to concern over “the profound influences of population growth.” The function of NEPA (42 U.S.C. § 4331(a)) was to provide a mechanism that would provide a degree of public transparency and accountability over the environmentally significant decisions of the federal bureaucracy. Despite this explicit congressional concern, federal agencies never initiated NEPA review for any of their immigration actions, even as increasingly higher immigration levels became responsible for a larger and larger proportion of the country’s total population growth.

CIS filed its amended complaint last September against the Department of Homeland Security, the Department of State, and the Department of Justice in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia on behalf of the MCIR, a citizen group that believes immigration levels should be lowered for environmental reasons, and six individual citizens that include residents of Arizona, Minnesota, and Pennsylvania. The amended complaint alleged that the Biden administration’s failure to do any environmental analysis before implementing the immigration policies that created the crisis at the border violated NEPA.

The challenged policies were the termination of the Migrant Protection Protocols, better known as the Remain in Mexico policy, ending construction of the border wall, the creation of parole programs for Afghani and Central American nationals, the expansion of refugee programs, placing limitations on enforcement actions by both Customs and Border Protection and Immigration and Customs Enforcement, and the re-instatement of administrative closure in deportation proceedings. CIS also challenged the Department of Homeland Security’s NEPA procedures, alleging that DHS should have conducted a programmatic environmental impact statement over all of these policies, a claim that was brought in CAPS’s case as well.

NEPA requires that federal agencies conduct environmental analysis and solicit public input before carrying out policies that “significantly impact the human environment.” These policies were implemented unilaterally by the Biden administration and have led to millions of foreign nationals entering and settling in the United States. They are the quintessential type of actions that NEPA, which was adopted out of a concern for population growth, seeks to address.

The agencies filed a motion to dismiss CIS’s claims, arguing that plaintiffs had not been injured by the government’s conduct but by the actions of foreign nationals, and therefore did not have standing to bring any claims against the government for the actions it took on im-

migration. The government also argued that the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) prevents citizens from bringing many of the claims and that the Biden administration could use the Trump administration’s NEPA waiver to build the wall to halt construction of the wall. It also argued that CIS could not challenge DHS’s NEPA procedures themselves and could not seek programmatic relief.

The district court rejected the government’s standing argument, its arguments that the INA prevents plaintiffs from bringing NEPA claims against these immigration actions, and its NEPA waiver arguments. As in CAPS’s case, the court accepted the government’s arguments that plaintiffs could not challenge DHS’s NEPA procedures themselves and could not seek a programmatic impact statement. While obviously different judges will have different interpretations of the law, this suggests that American citizens are most likely to succeed on NEPA cases during times when unilateral immigration actions by agencies are creating massive environmental crises right before Americans’ very eyes!

MCIR v. DHS will now proceed to a hearing on the merits. The parties will likely be further litigating whether plaintiffs are entitled to discovery over standing issues and finally proceeding to a summary judgment motion over the winter and spring. CAPS will be monitoring the case’s progress and reporting. 🐘

New book reveals Hispanics want stronger immigration enforcement

A new book reveals a fact that few in the mainstream media or in conventional political circles are willing to admit: Hispanics want stronger immigration enforcement.

Jim Robb of NumbersUSA has authored a new book exploring this topic, titled *“Political Migrants: Hispanic Voters on the Move—How America’s Largest Minority Is Flipping Conventional Wisdom on Its Head.”*


Robb is a veteran of the cause and has been active for years in the crusade to reform US immigration policy. His expertise in this area is rivaled by few others, and he brings a unique perspective to the issue that other authors of this topic do not possess.

The book’s description called this the “*biggest story in American politics*” and mentioned how this once reliable block of voters for the Democratic Party are now up for grabs.

Here’s some more information from Robb’s book description on Amazon:

In the 2020 Presidential election, Hispanics shocked everyone by giving 37% of their votes to Pres. Donald Trump, sharply higher than he had gotten in his first election campaign. All his talk about building the Wall on our southern border and stopping illegal immigration was supposed to turn off Hispanics. Instead, for millions, it did the opposite. What was going on?

Continued on page 8



675 East Santa Clara Street #860
Ventura CA 93002

Phone: 805-564-6626
www.StopSanctuary.com
www.CAPSweb.org


Address service requested



CAPS needs your feedback!

Time Sensitive Material Enclosed!

Your opportunity to give us
feedback crucial to our messaging
and 2023 events!



New Book reveals... (continued from page 7)

I wrote *Political Migrants: Hispanic Voters on the Move* to answer these questions. No one person can speak for all Hispanics... and that's the point! Just for this book, NumbersUSA hired the well-respected polling company Rasmussen Reports to conduct a massive poll of likely Hispanic voters. The results are extremely interesting and very detailed.

In a mass email sent out by Robb advertising the book to Numbers USA's newsletter list, he cited numerous statistics indicating Hispanic's have a view of immigration that's out of line with the views of the modern Democratic Party.

Robb stated his book reveals that Hispanics reject illegal immigration,

strongly support E-Verify, oppose amnesty, and are against chain migration. He then proceeded to break down exactly why it's so important to spread the message contained within this book as far and wide as possible.

This book GIVES HOPE to those of us who have fought hard for CONTROLLED IMMIGRATION all these years. Hispanics by and large want the same thing!! We need to reassure Republican officials that immigration reduction is a way to GAIN more Hispanic voters. And we need to warn Democratic officials that their advantage with Hispanic voters may continue to dwindle if they stick with their insistence on mass immigration both legal and illegal.

We strongly encourage all those who follow CAPS to purchase this important book. As mentioned in the NumbersUSA newsletter, Robb would also appreciate any reviews/ratings of his book posted to Amazon. This will help boost visibility and increase readership, which is crucial for the book's success.

All of us at CAPS look forward to reading this fascinating new book ourselves, as we're sure it will contain a treasure trove of information we won't find easily anywhere else. So don't wait, head over to Amazon and grab a copy for yourself. 🐻